



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,020		10/04/2000	Kiichiro Takahashi	1272.C0439	6101	
5514	7590	11/15/2005			EXAMINER	
FITZPATE 30 ROCKE		ELLA HARPER R PLAZA	BRIN	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2624		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
,			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20051110

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/678,020	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Brinich	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Section 2a) This action is FINAL.      Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2 section 2 section 2 section 2 section 3	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4,6,13-15 and 17 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,7-12,16 and 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	/are withdrawn from consideration	on.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 7-12, 16, & 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helterline et al.

Re claims 1 & 12, Helterline et al discloses (Abstract; column 4, line 45 - column 5, line 32) an image processing and printing system in which a plurality of density correction data (contents of look-up table 52) are derived from a judgment of printing conditions (actual print density compared to ideal density of a printout). These data are then retained and used to perform density correction corresponding the result of this judgment, thereby calibrating the printer. Printing is thus performed in accordance with these printing conditions.

Re claims 5 & 16, the printing calibration regulates the volume of a generated ink drop (column 4, lines 55-63), which inherently determines the dot size generated by an ink jet printer. This determination is performed in accordance with printing conditions (as described above re claims 1 & 12) that are determined in accordance with image data (the line width actually produced and the ideal line width desired).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,020

Art Unit: 2624

Re claims 7-8 & 18-19, Helterline et al discloses a plurality of print elements (column 5, lines 33-47), each of which uses the retained calibration data.

Re claims 9-10 & 20-21, Helterline et al discloses (column 1, lines 43-48) an ink jet printing process in which ink is ejected from nozzles using thermal energy.

Re claims 11 & 22, Helterline et al discloses (column 5, lines 15-32) a printing condition judgment by means of a simulation printout (a "calibration run").

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (Response filed 7/14/05: page 2, lines 8-21) that the presently claimed "density correction data" and the presently claimed "printing condition" do not read upon the elements of the Helterline look-up table 52. In particular, Applicant argues (Response filed 7/14/05: page 2, lines 14-20) that the input  $\Delta DV$  of Helterline is not a "printing condition" as set forth in the present invention and does not correspond to the stored "density correction data" of the present invention.

Concerning the latter point, the outstanding rejection of record does not read the "density correction data" on the input ADV of Helterline, but rather reads the "density correction Application/Control Number: 09/678,020 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

data" on the *output from* table 52 (which, by the inherent functioning of a look-up table, is stored therein).

Concerning the former point, the output from table 52 of Helterline is generated in response to the input  $\Delta DV$  (Helterline column 4, line 60 - column 5, line 5) and has a direct effect upon the printed output of (Helterline column 5, lines 5-14). Thus, the quantity  $\Delta DV$  is a condition according to which the printing means performs printing (i.e. a change in the quantity  $\Delta DV$  will produce a corresponding change in the printing as a direct result), and is thus readable on the recited "printing condition".

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,020

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

November 10, 2005